UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,685	03/26/2004	Thomas Kolze	1875.4070001/TCF/BSW	7878
	7590 07/12/200 SLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.	ANDREWS, LEON T		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	¥			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/809,685	KOLZE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Leon Andrews	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/26	3/2004.				
,	s action is non-final.				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	own from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
,					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/9/2006. 	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. (b) as being anticipated by Grimwood et al. (Pub.

No.: US 2001/0033611 A1)

Regarding Claims 1 and 3, Grimwood et al. discloses a method (method, Title, line 1) and apparatus (Fig. 6 CU, CMTS) for maintaining synchronization in a communication system wherein a central entity transmits a signal containing timing information to one or more remote devices, the one or more remote devices using the timing information for scheduling transmissions (Fig. 6, 256, Sync message includes sample of timestamp and CMTS sends sync message; transmitting timestamp data downstream from the CU allow the RUs to align their upstream frame to the CU upstream frame, paragraph [0082], page 7, lines 2-5), the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2616

synchronizing a first symbol clock (CU master chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, line 7) and a second symbol clock (downstream chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, line 6) (synchronizes the downstream and the upstream clocks, paragraph [0080], page 7, lines 1-2);

transmitting a first signal to the one or more remote devices, wherein the first signal includes timing information based on the first symbol clock (transmission of barker codes from the CU to RUs include chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, lines 1-6) and data having a first forward error correction (FEC) alignment (timestamp message encapsulated into forward error correction frames in MCNS downstream, paragraph [0134], page 13, lines 1-4); and

upon termination of transmission of the first signal to the one or more remote devices (Fig. 7, 302, process looks and waits for message to arrive), transmitting the second signal to the one or more remote devices, wherein the second signal includes timing information based on the second symbol clock (down stream bar codes were encoded to include the downstream chip clock so that all the RUs could synchronize to the CU master chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, lines 5-7) and data having a second FEC alignment (data frames are broken down into packets and sent downstream in a continuous stream after FEC encoding, paragraph [0005], page 1, lines 3-6) that is synchronized with the first FEC alignment.

Regarding Claim 5, Grimwood et al. discloses a method (method, Title, line 1) for maintaining synchronization in a communication system (communicating system, Abstract, line 1) wherein a central entity (central unit, Abstract, line 4) transmits a signal containing timing information to one or more remote devices, the one or more remote devices using the timing information for scheduling transmissions (transmitting timestamp data downstream from the CU allow the RUs

Art Unit: 2616

to align their upstream frame to the CU upstream frame, paragraph [0082], page 7, lines 2-5), the method comprising:

synchronizing a first symbol clock (CU master chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, line 7) and a second symbol clock (downstream chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, line 6) (synchronizes the downstream and the upstream clocks, paragraph [0080], page 7, lines 1-2);

transmitting a first signal to the one or more remote devices, wherein the first signal includes timing information based on the first symbol clock (transmission of barker codes from the CU to RUs include chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, lines 1-6) and data having a first forward error correction (FEC) alignment (FEC) alignment (timestamp message encapsulated into forward error correction frames in MCNS downstream, paragraph [0134], page 13, lines 1-4);

generating a second signal that includes timing information based on the second symbol clock (down stream bar codes were encoded to include the downstream chip clock so that all the RUs could synchronize to the CU master chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, lines 5-7) and data having a second forward error correction (FEC) alignment (data frames are broken down into packets and sent downstream in a continuous stream after FEC encoding, paragraph [0005], page 1, lines 3-6);

transmitting calibration information relating to a difference between the first FEC alignment and the second FEC alignment (Fig 10, 320; Fig.11, 360) to the one or more remote devices; and

upon termination of transmission of the first signal (Fig. 7, 302, process looks and waits for message to arrive) to the one or more remote devices (transmission of barker codes from the

Art Unit: 2616

CU to RUs include chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, lines 1-6), transmitting the second signal to the one or more remote devices (down stream bar codes were encoded to include the downstream chip clock so that all the RUs could synchronize to the CU master chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, lines 5-7).

Regarding Claim 7, Grimwood et al. discloses the method of claim 5, further comprising: generating the calibration information, wherein generating the calibration information comprises generating first calibration data by comparing the first FEC alignment to a reference FEC alignment (Figs. 9, 10, Tables 1, 2) and generating second calibration data by comparing the second FEC alignment to the reference alignment (Figs. 9, 10, Table 1, 2).

Regarding Claims 9, 12 and 15, Grimwood et al. discloses a method (method, Title, line 1) and apparatus (Fig. 6 CU, CMTS) in a communication system (communicating system, Abstract, line 1), the apparatus comprising:

a first transmitter (Fig. 13, transmitter is intended to operate in the CU upstream or downstream, paragraph [0220], page 22, lines 7-9) adapted to transmit a first signal to one or more remote devices, wherein the first signal includes first timing information based on a first symbol clock (transmission of barker codes from the CU to RUs include chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, lines 1-6) and first data having a first forward error correction (FEC) alignment (timestamp message encapsulated into forward error correction frames in MCNS downstream, paragraph [0134], page 13, lines 1-4);

Art Unit: 2616

a second transmitter (SCDMA RU transmitter, paragraph [0017], page 2, lines 1-2) adapted to transmit a second signal to the one or more remote devices in response to the first transmitter terminating transmission of the first signal, wherein the second signal includes second timing information based on a second symbol clock (down stream bar codes were encoded to include the downstream chip clock so that all the RUs could synchronize to the CU master chip clock, paragraph [0004], page 1, lines 5-7) and second data having a second FEC alignment (data frames are broken down into packets and sent downstream in a continuous stream after FEC encoding, paragraph [0005], page 1, lines 3-6) that is synchronized with the first FEC alignment; and

a synchronization element adapted to synchronize the first symbol clock and the second symbol clock (synchronizes the downstream and the upstream clocks, paragraph [0080], page 7, lines 1-2);

wherein at least one of the first transmitter and the second transmitter is adapted to transmit calibration information relating to a difference between the first FEC alignment and the second FEC alignment (Fig 10, 320; Fig.11, 360) to the one or more remote devices.

Regarding Claims 6, 16 and 17, Grimwood et al. discloses a method (method, Title, line 1) and apparatus (Fig. 6 CU, CMTS) of claim 15, further including a calibration element adapted to generate the calibration information by comparing the first FEC alignment to a reference FEC alignment (Figs. 9, 10, Table 1, 2) and by comparing the second FEC alignment to the reference alignment (Figs. 9, 10, Table 1, 2).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding Claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 13 and 18, Grimwood et al. discloses a method (method, Title, line 1) and apparatus (Fig. 6 CU, CMTS) of claim 15, wherein the first transmitter transmits a notification message (Fig. 6, 262, CMTS sends message to RU; messages normally sent between the CU and the RU frames, paragraph [0014], page 2, lines 5-8) to the one or more remote devices indicating that the first signal will be terminated prior to termination of transmission of the first signal.

Regarding Claims 11, 14 and 19, Grimwood et al. discloses a method (method, Title, line 1) and apparatus (Fig. 6 CU, CMTS) of claim 15, wherein the apparatus is a cable modem termination system (CMTS) (Fig.6, CU is CMTS, paragraph [0106], page 11, line 1).

Citation of Pertinent Prior Art

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Burns et al. (Patent No.: US 6,449,291 B1) discloses method and apparatus for time synchronization in a communication system.

Gummalla et al. (Pub. No.: US 2002/0154655 A1) discloses system and method for combining requests for data bandwidth by a data provider for transmission of data over an asynchronous communication medium.

Pantelias (Pub. No.: US 2004/0100985 A1) discloses system and method for the reuse of S-CDMA parameters to define TDMA minislot size.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,685 Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon Andrews whose telephone number is (571) 270-1801. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rao S. Seema can be reached on (571) 272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Seema S. Reis 719107

SEEMA S. RAO

LA/la SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

July 2, 2007 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600